

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,694	04/09/2004	William Alejandro Thompson	P25130	8732
	7590 08/10/201 I & BERNSTEIN, P.L.	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLANI	O CLARKE PLACE	GHALI, ISIS A D		
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/10/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/820,694	THOMPSON, WILLIAM ALEJANDRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Isis A. Ghali	1611		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Isis A. Ghali	1611						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
🛮 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this								
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the							
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request								
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		OC(a) and the annualist	a automaion foo					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex								
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the	shortened statutory period for reply origi	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as					
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)		e of the final rejection, e	ven if timely filed,					
NOTICE OF APPEAL	•							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	e of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte								
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	ithin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause					
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	he issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rais	ated alaima						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		cted claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amandment (DTOL 224)					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		inpliant Amendment (F 1 OL=324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	at cancaling the					
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii subiliitted iii a separate, t	intery med amendmen	it carrosing the					
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) will	be entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	vided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 18,19 and 21-28.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-7,13-17 and 20).							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will not	be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and					
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or 	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief, w	vill <u>not</u> be					
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	if of the states of the claims after er	ity is below of attach	cu.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
	- Pro-							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:								

/Isis A Ghali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Claims as amended requires the presence of buprofen, sesame oil and isopropyl myristate that was not previously required. Applicant has added various claims to the independent claims and these specific combinations of various limitations were not previously considered. Further the amended claims remain rejected under the combination of Ramirez et al. (US 5,342,551), Youssefyeh (US 2001/003649), Sharme et al. (US 5,229,130) and Buyuktimkin (US 6,083,996). Additionally, a new matter has been identified by adding the new limitation of "preparing a tablet from a composition comprising a solution of bipurofen, clarified seame oil, and isopropyl myristate such that the solubility of buprofen is increased". Nowhere such a limitation has been found in the original specification or provisional application that anolicants refer to for support.